

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,076	11/18/2003	Brian S. Appel	10281-011-999	6999	
24341	7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. 2 PALO ALTO SQUARE			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, TAM M	
	MINO REAL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO	PALO ALTO, CA 94306		1764		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-
	•

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/717,076	APPEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tam M. Nguyen	1764	

Defere the Filing of an Annual Drief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	hara anima an ahar daan as Siima a bains	ما اسمعمد ما عمد الثني				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE BCIOWY,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amenoment	(PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.						
		Cor				
		dam M. Nguyen Examiner				
		Art Unit: 1764				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/717,076

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument that where the provisional nonstatutory ODP rejection is the only rejection remaining in the earlier-filed application, "the examiner should withdraw (the) rejection and permit the earlier-filed application to issue as a patent without a terminal disclaimer." In light of this explicit guidance from the MPEP, no terminal disclaimer is necessary for the allowance and issuance of the present application is not persuasive. The early ODP is only withdrawn when a terminal disclaimer is flied on the later filed application. Since the Applicant have not filed a terminal disclaimer for each of the later-filed application (e.g., 10/954,691 and 10/957,540), the ODP rejection in the earlier filed application will not be withdrawn. It is reminded that it is not sufficient to filed a terminal disclaimer in only one of the applications addressing the other two applications when there are three applications containing claims that conflict such that an ODP rejection is made in each application base upon the other two.